

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,164	05/31/2001	Daniel Fishman	2857/106	8770
	7590 10/10/200 & SUNSTEIN LLP	7	EXAMINER	
125 SUMMER	-		MIRZA, ADNAN M	
BOSTON, MA	02110-1016		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2145	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

_
1
-

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/872,164	FISHMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Adnan M. Mirza	2145	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 16(a). In no event, however, may a rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju	lv 2007		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	- ·	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration	•	× .
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			İ
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			· .
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement,		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		· · · · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	5 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(1)	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	•	•
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		polication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· · ·	
_ ,	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		raccivad	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list t	or the certilled copies not	received.	
	·		
Attachment(s)	. —		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	0.
2)		nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	_	

, is - 1

Application/Control Number: 09/872,164

Art Unit: 2145

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because claims are not limited to any particular apparatus or an article but may be practiced with any such suitable software program or instruction. Therefore, claims 10-13 fail under U.S.C 101 for being a software program or instruction, which fails to show any functional relationship between the computer or hardware components.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 14-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 14-18 does not meet the metes and bounds of the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2145

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conmy (US 2001/0014867) and further in view of Henneuse et al (U.S. 5,963,913).

As per claims 10,1,14 Conmy disclosed a system for scheduling an event over a network in a calendar of an invitee (Page. 1, Paragraph. 0030), the event having a set of details provided by an event creator (Page. 1, Paragraph. 0011), the system comprising: that when selected by the invitee creates a schedule request referencing at least the set of details for the event and an identifier for the event creator (Conmy, Paragraph. 0067 & 0077), the schedule request directing the event to the invitee's calendar; at least on server, in communication with the network, to receive the schedule request and store the event in the invitee's calendar and in an event record that includes a second link to the invitee's calendar; and at least on database, in communication with server, to store the event record (Conmy, Paragraph. 0026,0030,0064-0067,0083).

However Conmy did not disclose in detail, "a first link, inserted in a web page associated with the event creator and including a set of details regarding the event".

In the same field of endeavor Henneuse disclosed the server application then receives an event confirmation submitted by the scheduler using a client application to access the event confirmation page. IN response, the server application then creates and sends a message to each available participant to provide the schedule for the event. In one implementation, the event

Art Unit: 2145

definition page, event reply page and event confirmation page are web pages on an Internet (col. 1, lines 63-67 & col. 2, lines 1-3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have incorporated the server application then receives an event confirmation submitted by the scheduler using a client application to access the event confirmation page. IN response, the server application then creates and sends a message to each available participant to provide the schedule for the event. In one implementation, the event definition page, event reply page and event confirmation page are web pages on an Interne as taught by Henneuse in the method of Conmy to make the user scheduling system more user friendly while being productive and make it more versatile.

- As per claims 2,12,16 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed further including; creating a link from 3. the event record to the event creator's calendar; and adding the event to the event creator's calendar (Conmy, Page. 1, Paragraph. 0010).
- As per claims 3,13 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the network is the Internet (Henneuse, col. 2, lines 1-3).
- 6. As per claim 4 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the invitee's calendar, the event creator's calendar and the event record are stored in a database in communication with the server (Conmy, Page. 1, Paragraph 0013).

Application/Control Number: 09/872,164 Page 5

Art Unit: 2145

7. As per claims 5,11,15 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the schedule request is a

hypertext transfer protocol request (Henneuse, col. 3, lines 1-8).

8. As per claim 6 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the invitee's calendar is part of a

personal information management system (Conmy, Page 1, Paragraph. 0013).

9. As per claims 7,17 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the event creator changes at least

one member of the set of details for the event, the method further including: updating the event

record with the changed set of details; and updating the event in the invitee's calendar with the

changed set of details using the link between the event record and the invitee's calendar (Conmy,

Page 1, Paragraph. 0013).

10. As per claims 8,18 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed further including sending a notification

message to the invitee including the changed set of details (Conmy, Page. 1, Paragraph. 0010).

11. As per claim 9 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the schedule is created using a link

associated with the event (Henneuse, col. 1, lines 63-67 & col. 2, lines 1-3).

Application/Control Number: 09/872,164

Art Unit: 2145

Response to Arguments

Page 6

Applicant's arguments filed 17/07/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Response to applicant's arguments is as follows.

A. Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose, "the creation of an event recorder and the

creation of a link between the event recorder and the calendar of the invitee".

As to applicant's argument Henneuse disclosed, "In one embodiment, the server application

creates the event confirmation page such that it has restricted access to allow access only to the

initiating scheduler. In the Internet implementation, server application provides both the event

reply page and the event confirmation page as web pages to which the scheduler and the

requested participants have access using respective client applications 12 and 14. In step 24

server application 16 creates and sends an electronic mail message to each requested participant

where each message includes a link to the event reply page (col. 3, lines 38-48).

B. Applicant argued that Conmy did not disclose, "in which an invitee interacts with a web

page to scheduled an event in the invitee's calendar".

As to applicant's argument Conmy disclosed, "According to one embodiment of the present

invention, profiles 202 and calendar files 210 may be stored in an electronic mail file associated

Application/Control Number: 09/872,164 Page 7

Art Unit: 2145

with each user. Collectively, the profiles 202 and the calendar files 210 comprise availability information for a particular user. The electronic mail file may then be stored on one or more of servers associated with the particular user (Page. 2, Paragraph 0031).

C. Applicant argued that Henneuse did not disclose that each participant to an event has a calendar.

As to applicant's argument, the claim language didn't disclose every participant has a calendar, on the other hand Henneuse did disclose in general, the event reply page includes a display of one or more options defined by the scheduler as well as other event information defined by the scheduler (col. 3, lines 29-33).

D. Applicant argued that Henneuse did not disclose the use of calendars of either an invitee or invitor.

As to applicants argument Henneuse disclosed the requested participants can use the link to the event reply page and client applications can use the link to the event reply page and client applications to access and view the event reply page (col. 3, lines 59-63).

Application/Control Number: 09/872,164

Art Unit: 2145

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (571)-272-3885.

14. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business

hours. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571)-272-3933. The fax for this group is (703)-

746-7239. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for un published

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866)-217-9197 (toll-free).

AM

Adnan Mirza

Examiner

JASON CARDONE

Page 8

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER